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ABSTRACT: This study was conducted jointly with the Municipal Sanitary Surveillance Agency of Petrolina, in the period of August-October 2013, to evaluate the hygienic-sanitary conditions of food producing and commercializing establishments in the municipality of Petrolina. A total of 300 food manufacturer/trader establishments were evaluated based on a Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) checklist. The evaluation was based on 25 items. The data were computed as 0 for nonconformity and 1 for conformity to the GMP checklist. Data from all districts were analyzed by Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Items such as presence of lamp protectors, clean windows and screens, presence of SOP and training programs for handlers, use of aprons, caps, gloves and disposable towels, and performing periodic examinations of the establishments were evaluated. Fourteen evaluation items showed significant differences (P<0.05) for frequency of conforming and 9 for nonconforming. The PCA identified five city districts (Centro Norte, Areia Branca, Santa Luzia, Rio Corrente and São Gonçalo) in the municipality that used GMP in their establishments. These results highlight the need to redouble efforts in establishments to ensure the safety of products offered.


INTRODUCTION

Foodborne diseases cause high levels of morbidity and mortality in the general population. To reduce the incidence and economic consequences of foodborne diseases, the World Health Organization (WHO) is committed to collaborating with industries, consumers and the governments of its member states to strengthen and better focus the national food safety efforts (WHO, 2013). In Brazil, the official government agency ANVISA (Sanitary Vigilance Agency, Ministry of Health) requires all catering companies to abide by the Good Practices and Standard Operational Procedures (SOP) Guidelines (SACCOL et al., 2013). Moreover, the Brazilian legislation Board Resolution (RDC) n. 275 from October 21, 2002 focuses on the verification of good practice for food services with the aim of ensuring the hygienic and sanitary conditions of prepared food (BRASIL, 2002).

As the incidence of diseases related to food consumption grows every year, it is necessary to intensify the inspection of food processing establishments in order to safeguard public health (GARAYOA et al., 2017; WHO, 2015). Interestingly, the number of meals consumed outside the home increases the emergence of foodborne illness and, consequently, outbreaks of food toxicinations (LYNCH et al., 2013). In Pernambuco state, foodborne illnesses associated with establishments processing commercialized food, mainly food of animal origin, have been reported (DUARTE et al., 2005; MOURA et al., 2007; SIQUEIRA et al., 2010).

The root causes of foodborne illnesses outbreaks were traced back to poor food handling practices, such as cross contamination of raw and cooked products, slow cooling, inadequate refrigeration of foods, poor worker hygiene and lack of knowledge concerning food safety among food handlers (PICHLER et al., 2014).

Important measures for preventing foodborne diseases caused by inappropriate handling include training of handlers about proper techniques, correct personal hygiene, health assessment, implementation of good production practices, in addition to constant supervision (CAMPOS et al., 2009). Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the hygienic-sanitary conditions of food processing/commercializing establishments based on the Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) checklist of Brazilian legislation in the municipality of Petrolina, semi-arid region of Pernambuco, Brazil.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the municipality of Petrolina- State of Pernambuco, Brazil, during the period of August-October 2013. The food manufacturer/trader establishments were inspected in 15 city districts (Areia Branca, Centro Norte, Centro Sul, Cohab Massangano, Dom Avelar, Gercino Coelho, Jardim Maravilha, João de Deus, José e Maria, Maria Auxiliadora, Núcleo Zona Rural, Rio Corrente, Santa Luzia, São Gonçalo e Vila Eduardo) (Figure 1), with 20 establishments per city district, totaling 300 establishments. The inspections were conducted with the assistance of the Municipal Sanitary Surveillance Agency of Petrolina.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results show that 86.67% (P<0.05) of the establishments did not have Standard Operational Procedures (SOP) (Table 1). The establishment of SOPs is indispensable. According to Brasil (2004), the SOPs contain sequential instructions about the procedures and how often they must be performed. Thus, as the SOPs predict a standardization of a particular activity in sufficient detail and specific guidance, they can prevent the possibility of errors and guarantee the desired quality of the product at every production step. Henriques et al. (2014) showed that the industry demonstrated unsatisfactory implementation of SOPs in terms of both preventive maintenance plans of equipment and hygiene. In another study, 10 out of 17 food handlers would like to see the implementation of documented procedures (e.g., SOP) to ensure effective cleaning of walls, floors, ceilings and equipment (LE et al., 2014).

Figure 1. Map of localization: (A) Petrolina, city of Pernambuco State, Northeastern region of Brazil. (B) Municipality of Petrolina and highlight of the city districts visited in this study. (C) The 15 city districts of Petrolina (IBGE, Setor Censitário 2010) where the food manufacturer/trader establishments were inspected.
The percentage of food handlers with complete and correct use of suitable clothing was very low in the visited establishments selling animal products. Only 42.67% of the handlers used aprons and disposable caps (Table 1) (P<0.05). Other studies also showed nonconformance with these criteria (SACCOL et al., 2013; ABD-ELALEEM et al., 2014; CAMPOS et al., 2014; HENRIQUES et al., 2014; SANI AND SIOW, 2014). The purpose of the overall protective clothing is to protect both the food product and the meat handler from cross-contamination (ABD-ELALEEM et al., 2014).

In our study, only 19.67% of the employees used disposable gloves for food handling (P<0.05) (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Abdelaleem et al. (2014). In a study by Sani and Siow (2014), 63.4% of the employees did not wear protective gloves to avoid cross-contamination. The use of the glove is fundamental to reduce or prevent bacterial contamination. However, the glove use might be counterproductive because, in accordance to Lynch et al. (2005), often the food handlers wear the same pair of gloves for extended periods. They believe that the simple fact of wearing the glove there is total protection against bacterial contamination. Thus, in agreement with CDC (2010) and FSAI (2011), food handlers must be properly trained to ensure that the gloves are changed frequently and that hands should be washed between glove changes and when gloves are removed. It must also be emphasised that gloves can allow bacteria to build up on the surface of the hands, so hand washing is extremely important when gloves are removed to avoid subsequent contamination of food. The use of gloves does not exempt washing hands before and after contact with any surfaces (BRASIL, 1998).

Table 1. Percentages and P-value* of conformity or nonconformity of GMP checklist in 300 food producing and commercializing establishments in Petrolina, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>% Conforming (n=300)</th>
<th>% Nonconforming (n=300)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Operational Procedures (SOP) to employees</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training program for employees</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees with uniform (apron)</td>
<td>42.67</td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not use of adornment (earring, ring, wristband) by employees</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use disposable caps by employees</td>
<td>42.67</td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of disposable gloves by employees</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>80.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of skin diseases and wounds in visible regions of the body handlers</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Careful hand wash by handlers</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of sneeze, spit, cough and manipulation of money by the handlers.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic examinations (blood, feces) carried out by handlers</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td>62.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean environment</td>
<td>72.67</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orderly flow of the process of manipulation / commercialization</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good state of conservation of the floor</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good state of conservation of the ceiling</td>
<td>71.67</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamps with protection</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean windows and with screens</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment sanitized before and after use</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knives different for raw and cooked food</td>
<td>71.33</td>
<td>28.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive maintenance and calibration of equipment</td>
<td>64.33</td>
<td>35.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration equipment not turned off the night to energy saving</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene products identified and stored in a suitable place</td>
<td>69.67</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning utensils are kept in good state of preservation</td>
<td>83.67</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not use of conventional cloths for cleaning and drying hands, utensils and equipment</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td>72.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drains and grates siphoned</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated vector management for urban pests</td>
<td>76.33</td>
<td>23.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi-square test (p<0.05)
Only 32% of the handlers used their adornments, i.e., jewelry (rings, bracelets, earrings) during manipulation of food (P<0.05) (Table 1). Nonconformance to the adornments use was reported by some authors (CAMPOS et al., 2009; HENRIQUES et al., 2014; OLIVEIRA et al., 2014; SANI AND SIOW, 2014). In another study, it was observed that a vast majority of the handlers knew the risk of food contamination due to the use of adornments. Nevertheless, 74% of the handlers were wearing adornments during food handling (CUNHA et al., 2014). In this context, our study showed that food handlers have the understanding that all jewelry should be removed, according to the current legislation (BRASIL, 2004).

According to the current legislation (BRASIL, 2004), handlers must wear their hair up, protected by nets, caps or other suitable accessories. Furthermore, handlers must maintain personal hygiene, with uniforms compatible to the activity, conserved and clean, swapped out at least daily, and used exclusively inside the premises of the establishment.

In this study, we observed that 77% of the handlers did not have access to any training for food handling with proper hygiene (P<0.05) (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Campos et al. (2009), Aluko et al. (2014) and Sani and Siow (2014). Furthermore, Malhotra et al. (2007) reported that the managers of these establishments did not receive any training involving food. Soares et al. (2013) affirmed that the success in the improvement (enhancement) of the establishment’s hygiene is associated to the food handlers’ food safety training.

Training programs related to food safety are required, and the establishments should ensure the training and certification of individuals who work in your food service. Training is one of the most effective interventions to ensure quality and food safety (MALHOTRA et al., 2007). Such training should be conducted every six months, with a tolerance of one year (CUNHA et al., 2014).

In this study, a significant number of employees realized that careful hand washing was necessary (61%) (P<0.05) (Table 1). In a study conducted by Sani and Siow (2014) 98.2% of the employees reported knowing the need to wash hands when handling food. In a study with butchers, 80% reported that they wash their hands daily at the beginning of work (ABD-ELALEEM et al., 2014). In most cases, hand washing was not performed due to work overload, as food handlers prioritized other activities (CUNHA et al., 2014). Other studies showed a lack of essential care with hand washing when handling food (LUCCA; TORRES, 2006; CAMPOS et al., 2009; SUN et al., 2012; SACCOL et al., 2013; ALUKO et al., 2014; MARTINS; ROCHA, 2014; OLIVEIRA et al., 2014). Hands are important agents in transmitting microorganisms and intestinal parasites in food (LUCCA; TORRES, 2006). Therefore, Codex Alimentarius (2006) and RDC 216 (BRASIL, 2004) encourage hand washing before food preparation, after any interruption, after touching any contaminated materials, after using toilets, and always when needed.

No sneezing, spitting, or coughing on food is permitted, in addition to no smoking, handling money or performing other acts that may contaminate food (BRASIL, 2002). There was no significant difference in the frequency of these habits in our observed establishments (P>0.05) (Table 1). During the inspection, handlers performed these inappropriate behaviors during food preparation in 47% of the establishments. Similar results were observed by Sani and Siow (2014). Another study showed that 70.4% of the handlers smoked cigarettes and continued smoking in the workplace, and 2.6% were current smokers in the kitchen or eating areas (MALHOTRA et al., 2007).

In the inspected establishments, there was a significant difference in the frequency of cleaning of equipment before and after food preparation. Approximately 66% of the establishments were cleaned before and after food preparation. Lucca and Torres (2006), Oliveira et al. (2014) and Martins and Rocha (2014) revealed nonconformance in cleaning and disinfection of equipment and utensils practices. Garayoa et al. (2014) observed that the degree of cleanliness of equipment and utensils was influenced by their place of storage. Utensils that were located below work areas were dirtier than those placed on high shelves or separated from working areas. In addition, the equipment and utensils that were not used often were also found to be dirtier. Second these authors, there is the perception that there is not a concern with the cleanliness of equipment and utensils. Thus, the data found in this present study can be related to the high temperature of the city of Petrolina that contributes to the excessive presence of house flies and mosquitoes. The average annual temperature of the city is 24.8 °C (CLIMATE-DAT.ORG, 2017). House flies densities are highest at mean temperatures of 20 to 25°C (WHO, 1991). And of course, dirty equipment and utensils attract these insects. This observation is confirmed by high adhesion of food establishments to integrated vector management for urban pests.
Verification of good practices in food…

It was observed that in 71.33% of the establishments, the employees did not use the same knives for raw and cooked food (P<0.05). In accordance to Martins and Rocha (2014), there are non-conformities in the handling and storage of raw and cooked foods, favoring cross-contamination. Garayoa et al. (2017) affirmed that the cross-contamination between raw and cooked foods is a recurrent problem and that can contribute to foodborne outbreaks. Thus, the good handling practices are postulated as one of the major preventive actions for pathogen transmission from food handling personnel to the final consumer.

The lack of periodic health examinations (blood, feces) of the handlers (62.67%) was significant as per the Chi square test (P<0.05). The absence of examinations of handlers is common in food establishments. Sun et al. (2012) reported absence of examinations by physicians and laboratory investigations prior to commencing services as one of the major vehicles for contamination of foodstuffs and microorganisms that can cause food-borne infection. The study exemplified reports of asymptomatic carriers as a potential source of food-borne disease through food (EISERT, 2012). Sani and Siow (2014) reported that 82.8% of the food handlers admitted to knowing that one should not handle food if they have hand lesions. Bloomfield et al. (2007) reported the violation of law by handlers who continued to work routinely with respiratory or skin infections. According to Health Surveillance System of Brazil, through RDC 216 and the Codex Alimentarius, people affected by or suspected of having any disease that can be transmitted through food, are prohibited from handling food and shall immediately inform the manager.

The frequency of cleaning as well as the proper maintenance of the floor and ceiling was statistically significant, with high values of 72.67%, 73.33% and 71.67%, respectively. Approximately 53% of the establishments did not have drains and grates siphoned. The frequency of nonconformance (P <0.05) for windows and lamps was found in 87.67% and 73% of establishments, respectively. Saccol et al. (2013) reported conformity to edification and installations in the studied establishments. However, Oliveira et al. (2014) affirmed that 84% of the school food service establishments were classified at the highest levels of risk, according to the formula proposed by the authors in the study, where the main problems were related to the lack of screens on windows and inadequate or lack of toilets for food handlers. In the same study, it was found that 86% of these establishments had inadequately clean floors in the areas of food processing and handling.

As recommended by the RDC 326 (BRASIL, 1997) and RDC 216 (BRASIL, 2004), screens and drains hamper the entry of insects and rodents in the establishments. According to these RDCs, the floors must be resistant to transit, waterproof, washable, non-slip, without cracks and easy to clean and disinfect. The linings and ceilings must have a smooth finish, be waterproof, light-colored, and in a good state of maintenance and cleanliness. According to current legislation, lamps and luminaires should be clean, explosion protected, in a good state of maintenance and must not alter the sensory characteristics of food.

In 9% of the inspected establishments, the food handlers had some type of visible lesions on their body (hand dermatitis). There was a significant difference in the frequency of handlers without visible lesions (P<0.05). Ford (2012) affirmed that occupational hand dermatitis in food handlers and kitchen staff is one of the main causes of ill health. Skin problems in the industry are related by contact with water, soaps and detergents, with many cases arising from contact with food. In addition, skin lesions its one of the ways that handlers can spread disease through food (EISERT, 2012). Sani and Siow (2014) reported that 82.8% of the food handlers admitted to knowing that one should not handle food if they have hand lesions. Bloomfield et al. (2007) reported the violation of law by handlers who continued to work routinely with respiratory or skin infections. According to Health Surveillance System of Brazil, through RDC 216 and the Codex Alimentarius, people affected by or suspected of having any disease that can be transmitted through food, are prohibited from handling food and shall immediately inform the manager.

In this study, it was observed that there was a higher frequency of establishments (P <0.05) with sufficient space for the flow of the handling process/commercialization, ease of receiving food as well as for production and shipping. Martins and Rocha (2014), also reported the existence of good
operational flow rationalized in the evaluated feeding units. However, structural problems are quite common, especially in the layout of the installations (DKEKIC et al., 2014). According to Brasil (2002) a lack of sufficient space for the process flow handling/commercialization of food can directly reflect on the sanitary conditions of installations and the preparation, production, handling, packaging and product display, thereby affecting the quality and safety of food.

There was a higher frequency of preventive maintenance and calibration of equipment (P <0.05), approximately 64.33% of the establishments performed this action. The equipment must have a smooth surface, be easy to clean and disinfect, with light paint, without grease drip, ice accumulation or constant maintenance (BRASIL, 2002).

Significant difference was found in the practice of unplugging the refrigerator at night. With the aim of conserving energy, this habit was reported by handlers in only 16% of the establishments, that is, a total of 84% of establishments did not turn off the equipment at night. The refrigeration equipments were not turned off the night to energy saving. In this study, it was perceived the care of establishments in the maintenance of the temperature for refrigerated foods (presence of thermometer in the refrigerators). It is certain that this precaution occurs due to the high temperatures in the municipality, as mentioned previously. Other studies showed nonconformance with the daily control of temperature suitable for food storage (CUNHA et al., 2014; HENRIQUES et al., 2014; MARTINS AND ROCHA, 2014; OLIVEIRA et al., 2014).

It was observed statistically significant differences for the storage and correct identification of hygiene products (69.67%), conditioning of the same in good condition (83.67%) and the use of conventional cloths for cleaning (72.33%). Abdelaleem et al. (2014) showed that 37.5% of the handlers used disposable paper towels for drying hands, and 32.5% of the handlers dry their hands with a cloth. The use of cloths to dry surfaces of food contact after routine hygienic procedures were also reported by Campos et al. (2009) and Henriques et al. (2014). Furthermore, Bartz et al. (2010) affirmed that when rubbed on surfaces, contaminated cloths invariably transferred microorganisms to surfaces or the hands of food handlers, increasing the risk to foodborne infections.

Integrated pest control is a common practice as observed in this study. Approximately 76.33% of the establishments performed integrated vector management for urban pests (P <0.05). As previously mentioned, the establishments really have the concern in the control of pests, mainly of house flies, that has its population increased due to the climate of the city of Petrolina. According to Saccol et al. (2013), this high level of compliance to the requirements for vector control and urban pests is extremely important, as the high incidence of contamination of food by these agents is well known. Pests are implicated in the dissemination of bacterial pathogens on food products. Niyonzima et al. (2017) reported that the absence of pest control measures in the food establishments (Kigali, Rwanda) were found to be associated with an increasing risk of Salmonella contamination in meat-based food.

To discriminate the 15 city districts included in this study according to the overall characteristics of their establishments, PCA was conducted based on Good Manufacturing Practices (Figure 2). The first seven components explained 70.18% of the total variance; among them, PC1 and PC2 explained 27.87% and 14.43% of the total variance, respectively. GMP was markedly separated in the plane of the biplot. On the upper right quadrant of the plane, the establishments from the city districts Centro Norte and Areia Branca could be differentiated as establishments with clean environments, clean windows and with screens, lamps with protection, good state of maintenance of the ceiling, drains and grates siphoned, orderly flow, training program, use of uniform by employees, absence of skin diseases and wounds in body of handlers, cross contamination (knives different for raw and cooked food) and hygiene products identified and stored. The establishments of the city district Santa Luzia could be differentiated by cleaning utensils, good state of conservation of the floor, SOP to employees and absence of sneeze, spit, cough and manipulation of money by the handlers.

On the upper left quadrant of the plane, the establishments from city districts of Rio Corrente and São Gonçalo are characterized by periodic examinations, careful hand washing and use of disposable caps and gloves by handlers, not use of cloths and adornment, equipment sanitization and maintenance, refrigeration equipment not turned off and integrated vector management. For the city districts of João de Deus, Cohab Massangano and Zona Rural, there was no differentiation in terms of GMP in their establishments.
CONCLUSIONS

The results showed overall good adherence to Good Practices. Fourteen items of evaluation presented frequency of conforming. However, nine items were nonconforming and included protection of lamps, clean windows and screens, presence of SOP, training programs for handlers as well as use of apron, caps, gloves and towels disposables, and performing periodic examinations. These items were levied from establishments.

Five city districts (Centro Norte, Areia Branca, Santa Luzia, Rio Corrente and São Gonçalo) were highlighted in the municipality as being GMP compliant. The absence of GMP in manufacturers/traders of food establishments results in serious public health implications. Therefore, mandatory and regular certifications related to food safety should be carried out in all handlers and managers of food establishments, with the aim of ensuring innocuous products and reducing the risk of foodborne illnesses.
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conformidade segundo a lista de verificação. As respostas para as observações foram obtidas por Análise de Componentes Principais (ACP). Itens como protetores de lâmpadas, limpeza das janelas e telas, presença de programas de higiene operacional, curso de formação para manipuladores, o uso de aventais, luvas e toalhas descartáveis e realização de exames médicos periódicos dos manipuladores foram avaliados. Quatorze itens de avaliação mostraram diferenças significativas para frequência de conformidade e 9 para não-conformidade. A ACP identificou cinco regiões da cidade (Centro Norte, Areia Branca, Santa Luzia, Rio Corrente e São Gonçalo) no município que utilizam BPF em seus estabelecimentos. Estes resultados destacam a necessidade de redobrar os esforços nos estabelecimentos para garantir a inocuidade dos produtos oferecidos.
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